Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/593,357	VERSECK ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Young J. Kim	1637
All Participants: Status of Application: <u>After-Allowance</u>		
(1) <u>Young J. Kim</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>Robert Bedgood</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 18 November 2010	Time:	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: n/a		
Claims discussed: n/a		
Prior art documents discussed: n/a		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Continuation Sheet		
Part III.		
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redirectly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redid not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary 	examiner will provide a writte ecord of the substance of the	en summary of the substance interview, since the interview
/Young J Kim/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1637		

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-413B (04-03) (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Mr. Bedgood was contacted to amend the specification for the purpose of removing reference to claim numbers which were no longer consistent with the claims which were being allowed. Mr. Bedgood agreed to the amendment with the understanding that the amendment is being made solely for formal purposes and does not have any bearing on the scope of the claims being issued..